

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/818,788	ABDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph Manoskey	2113

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Joseph Manoskey.

(3) K. Trisha Chang (Reg. No. 48,962).

(2) Robert Hails (Reg. No. 39,702).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2004

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 33

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization was given to the Examiner from the Applicant for an Examiner's Amendment to claim 33. The Amendment is to change the limitation "harassing instruction" on the last line of the claim to "harassing transaction".

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)